# **Oral Presentation Judging Rubric**

Graduate Academic Conference Council of Graduate Students

Presenter: Presentation #: Judge ID #:

## Judging directives:

Please assign a score to each category, where 1 is poor/not addressed and 10 is exceptional. Please provide written notes, as warranted, to support and expand on your scoring selections. Additional feedback to support growth and improvement is encouraged.

#### INTRODUCTION AND GOALS

Did the presenter(s) acknowledge prior research and present their study in a grounded context?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Were the goals/objectives, hypotheses, and/or research questions clearly stated?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

# METHODS, RESULTS, DISCUSSION, & FUTURE WORK

Did the presenter(s) adequately explain their study's methods?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Were the findings and figures/tables clearly described?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Was the significance of the research to the field <b>and</b> broader society explained?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the presenter(s) discuss the implications of their research for theory and/or practice and address (even if briefly) potential areas for future research?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the work presented connect to the selected topic in some way?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

## COMMUNICATION SKILLS

presentation? Was the presentation structured logically and coherently?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the presenter respond to questions effectively?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Award Eligibility - Was the presentation communicated within the allotted time frame? Judges: Please do not check this box if the monitor had to step in to cut off the presentation. In the event of a scoring tie for an award, only presentations with this box checked will be eligible.	TOTAL SCORE: /100

NOTES/ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK (please use reverse as needed):