Poster Presentation Judging Rubric

Presentation #: <Merge Field_ID>

Presenter Name: <Merge Field>

Judge ID #: <Merge Field_ID>

TITLE: <Merge Field>

Judging directives:

Please assign a score to each category, where 1 is poor/not addressed and 10 is exceptional.
Please provide written notes, as warranted, to support and expand on your scoring selections.
Additional feedback to support growth and improvement is encouraged.

INTRODUCTION AND GOALS

Did the presenter(s) acknowledge prior research and present their study in a grounded context?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Were the goals/objectives, hypotheses, and/or research questions clearly stated?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

METHODS, RESULTS, DISCUSSION, & FUTURE WORK

Did the presenter(s) adequately explain their study's methods?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Were the findings and figures/tables on the visual aid clearly explained?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Was the significance of the research to the field and broader society explained?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the presenter(s) discuss the implications of their research for theory and/or practice and address (even if briefly) potential areas for future research?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

COMMUNICATION SKILLS

Was the presentation structured logically and coherently?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Was the presentation conveyed engagingly?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Was the visual aid/poster cohesive and effective?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the presenter(s) address audience questions effectively?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
☐ Award Eligibility - presentation communicated within the allotted time frame. *Judges: Please do not check this box if the engagement necessary to understand the research with the Presenter(s) exceeded the allotted 10 minutes total. In the event of a scoring tie for an award, only presentations with this box checked will be eligible.*	**TOTAL SCORE:** **/100**

NOTES/ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK (please use reverse as needed):