2024 Graduate Academic Conference Council of Graduate Students

Judge ID #: <Merge Field_ID>

Presentation #: <Merge Field_ID>

Oral Presentation Judging Rubric

Presenter Name: <Merge Field>

TITLE: <Merge Field>

Judging directives:

Please assign a score to each category, where 1 is poor/not addressed and 10 is exceptional. Please provide written notes, as warranted, to support and expand on your scoring selections. Additional feedback to support growth and improvement is encouraged.

INTRODUCTION AND GOALS

Did the presenter(s) acknowledge prior research and present their study in a grounded context?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Were the goals/objectives, hypotheses, and/or research questions clearly stated?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

METHODS, RESULTS, DISCUSSION, & FUTURE WORK

Did the presenter(s) adequately explain their study's methods?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Were the findings and figures/tables clearly described?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Was the significance of the research to the field and broader society explained?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the presenter(s) discuss the implications of their research for theory and/or practice and address (even if briefly) potential areas for future research?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the work presented connect to the selected topic in some way?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

COMMUNICATION SKILLS

Did the presenter keep the attention of the audience throughout their presentation?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Was the presentation structured logically and coherently?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the presenter respond to questions effectively?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Award Eligibility - Was the presentation communicated within the allotted time frame? Judges: Please do not check this box if the monitor had to step in to cut off the presentation. In the event of a scoring tie for an award, only presentations with this box checked will be eligible.	TOTAL SCORE: /100

NOTES/ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK (please use reverse as needed):