

2023 GAC Poster Presentation Judging Rubric

Presenter Name:

Abstract #:

Session:

For each area below, please provide feedback by assigning a score to each of the categories, where 1 is poor/not addressed and 10 is exceptional.

INTRODUCTION AND GOALS

Did the presenter/s acknowledge prior research and present their study in a grounded context?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Were the goals/objectives, hypotheses and/or research questions clearly stated?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

METHODS

Did the presenter/s adequately explain their study's methods?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
---	----------------------

RESULTS, DISCUSSION, & FUTURE WORK

Were the findings and figures/tables clearly described?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Was the significance of the research to the field <i>and</i> broader society explained?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the presenter/s discuss implications of their research for theory and/or practice and address (even if briefly) potential areas for future research?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

POSTER PRESENTATION

Was the presentation structured in a logical and coherent manner?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Was the presentation conveyed in a creative manner?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

COMMUNICATION SKILLS

Was the presentation communicated within the allotted time frame?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the presenter/s respectfully and appropriately address questions?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

NOTES/ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK:

TOTAL SCORE: /100

2023 GAC Oral Presentation Judging Rubric

Presenter Name:

Abstract #:

Session:

For each area below, please provide feedback by assigning a score to each of the categories, where 1 is poor/not addressed and 10 is exceptional.

INTRODUCTION AND GOALS

Did the presenter/s acknowledge prior research and present their study in a grounded context?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Were the goals/objectives, hypotheses and/or research questions clearly stated?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

METHODS

Did the presenter/s adequately explain their study's methods?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
---	----------------------

RESULTS, DISCUSSION, & FUTURE WORK

Were the findings and figures/tables clearly described?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Was the significance of the research to the field <i>and</i> broader society explained?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the presenter/s discuss implications of their research for theory and/or practice and address (even if briefly) potential areas for future research?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

ORAL PRESENTATION

Was the presentation structured in a logical and coherent manner?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Was the presentation conveyed in a creative manner?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

COMMUNICATION SKILLS

Was the presentation communicated within the allotted time frame?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the presenter keep the attention of the audience throughout their presentation?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

NOTES/ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK:

TOTAL SCORE: /100

2023 GAC 3 Minute Thesis Judging Rubric

Presenter Name:

Presentation #:

For each area below, please provide feedback by assigning a score to each of the categories, where 1 is poor/not addressed and 10 is exceptional.

Comprehension & Content

Did the presentation provide an understanding of the background and significance to the research question being addressed, while explaining terminology and avoiding jargon?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the presentation clearly describe the impact and/or results of the research, including conclusions and outcomes?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the presentation follow a clear and logical sequence?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Was the thesis topic, research significance, results/impact and outcomes communicated in language appropriate to a non-specialist audience?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the presenter spend adequate time on each element of their presentation - or did they elaborate for too long on one aspect or was the presentation rushed?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Engagement & Communication

Did the oration make the audience want to know more?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Was the presenter careful not to trivialize or generalize their research?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the presenter convey enthusiasm for their research?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the presenter capture and maintain their audience's attention?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the speaker have sufficient stage presence, eye contact and vocal range; maintain a steady pace, and have a confident stance?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the PowerPoint slide enhance the presentation - was it clear, legible, and concise?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

NOTES/ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK:

TOTAL SCORE: /110