2020 GAC Poster Presentation Judging Rubric

Presenter Name: Abstract #:   Session:

For each area below, please provide feedback by assigning a score to each of the categories, where 1 is poor/not addressed and 10 is exceptional.

INTRODUCTION AND GOALS

Did the presenter/s acknowledge prior research and present their study in a grounded context?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Were the goals/objectives, hypotheses and/or research questions clearly stated?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

METHODS

Did the presenter/s adequately explain their study's methods?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

RESULTS, DISCUSSION, & FUTURE WORK

Were the findings and figures/tables clearly described?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Was the significance of the research to the field *and* broader society explained?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the presenter/s discuss implications of their research for theory and/or practice and address (even if briefly) potential areas for future research?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

POSTER PRESENTATION

Was the presentation structured in a logical and coherent manner?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Was the presentation conveyed in a creative manner?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

COMMUNICATION SKILLS

Was the presentation communicated within the allotted time frame?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Did the presenter/s respectfully and appropriately address questions?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

NOTES/ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK:

TOTAL SCORE: /100